

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/27/2005

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 2324 11/08/2002 Christian Busque A148 1770.1 10/065,687 **EXAMINER** 26158 01/27/2005 WOMBLE CARLYLE SANDRIDGE & RICE, PLLC LOCKETT, KIMBERLY R P.O. BOX 7037 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER ATLANTA, GA 30357-0037 2837

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/065,687	BUSQUE ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Kim R. Lockett	2837	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.		
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 4) Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 32,33,36,40,43 and 44 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-31,34,35,37-39,41,42,45 and 46 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/31/03. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:				

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 32, 33, 36, 40, 43, and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roy in view of Beakes et al.

Roy discloses the use of a flat panel sound radiator for a ceiling grid (see the abstract) comprising a frame, a flat panel radiator disposed within the frame (200); an electromechanical transducer (706) for inducing motion to the flat panel radiator to reproduce an audio signal supplied to the transducer; a bridge attached to the frame and providing a mounting surface for electromechanical transducer (see figure 3); and an isolation element with two parts (see figure2) for supporting and isolating the flat panel radiator from the frame thereby enabling the flat panel radiator to vibrate and to slide laterally in response to motion induced by the audio signal supplied to the electromechanical transducer (see figure 4). Roy also discloses the use of a voice coil (202) and a magnet (201).

Roy does not disclose the specific use of a transducer.

Art Unit: 2837

Beakes et al discloses the use of a flat panel radiator bridge that spans a length of the flat panel radiator on a back surface of the radiator and provides the sole support for the transducer (see figure 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the transducer as disclosed by Beakes with the radiator as disclosed by Roy in order to provide a device that is capable of reproducing sound.

- 3. Claims 1-31, 34, 35, 37-39, 41, 42, 45, and 46 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 32, 33, 36, 40, 43, and 44 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 5. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or filed papers should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 2800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 2800 via the PTO 2800 Fax Center located at Crystal Plaza 4. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 O.G. 30 (November 15,1989). The Group 2800

Application/Control Number: 10/065,687 Page 4

Art Unit: 2837

CP 4 Fax Center number is (703) 308-77(22 or 24). Fax numbers that provide an autoreply fax receipt are: for before finals (703) 872-9318 and after finals (703) 872-9319.

For assistance in **Patent procedure, fees or general Patent questions** calls should be directed to the **Patents Assistance Center (PAC) whose telephone number is 800-786-9199**. Assistance is also available on the Internet at www.uspto.gov.

For requesting copies of Cited Art, Office Actions or the like, or General

Problem solving, calls should be directed to the TC 2800 Customer Service Office

whose telephone number is 703-306-3329 or by fax at 703-306-5515.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kim Lockett whose telephone number is (571) 272-2067. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 6:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin can be reached on (571) 272-2107.

KIMBERLY COCKETT
PRIMARY EXAMINER